

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,466	09/20/2004	Robert Mark Schmidt	LC 0168 PUS	5465
36014	7590 04/21/2006		EXAMINER	
JOHN A. ARTZ ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C.			GUTMAN, HILARY L	
28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/711,466	SCHMIDT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hilary Gutman	3612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are with Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-16</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>12,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	drawn from consideration.				
Annlicati	ion Papers		·			
	•	A.F.				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objection drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/10/04</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/711,466 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 19-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2/23/06.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 2/23/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it is in fact not possible to make the product by a materially different process. This is not found persuasive because the examiner notes that the product does not require molding, one of the steps of the process of claims 19-20. Specifically, the product can be made by another materially different process (such as cutting or bolting) that does not include molding.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 22, 38, 50. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/711,466 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The drawings are objected to because in Figure 3, reference number 48 does not have a lead line. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 4, [Para 16], line 4, "sift" should be "soft". Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,466 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because on line 8, "the clamp" should be "The clamp". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

7. Claims 5 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 5, on line 5, the second period should be deleted.

In claim 10, on line 3, "proving" should perhaps be "providing".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 12 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "clamp element" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "said plurality of field effect sensors" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "soft durometer backer" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3612

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-11, 13-16 are allowed.

11. Claims 12, 17-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 571-272-6662.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 571-272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hilary Gutman

April 14, 2006